

REMARKS

Claims 1-11 are pending in this application. By this Amendment, claims 1, 4, 9 and 10 are amended. Claim 11 is added. No new matter is added by this Amendment, as support may be found at least on page 17, line 23 to page 19, line 1 and shown in Fig. 4A of the Applicant's disclosure. Reconsideration of the application in view of the above amendments and the following remarks is respectfully requested.

The Office Action rejects claims 1-4 and 6-10 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Nos. 6,437,836 to Huang et al. (hereinafter "Huang") in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0085352 to Nagasaka et al. (hereinafter "Nagasaka"). Additionally, the Office Action rejects claim 5 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable Huang in view of Nagasaka and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 7,061,648 to Nakajima et al. (hereinafter "Nakajima"). Applicant respectfully traverses these rejections.

The Office Action asserts that Huang in view of Nagasaka teaches the features as positively recited at least in independent claims 1 and 9. However, none of the applied references teach "changing a scroll amount based on the positional relation when the display area is scrolled," as positively recited at least in amended independent claims 1 and 9.

In contrast, Nagasaka teaches, as shown at least in Fig. 38, that usual scrolling and high-speed scrolling may be provided. As discussed in paragraphs [0360]-[0363] and [0409], Nagasaka teaches that the rate of scrolling is the only characteristic of scrolling that is modified, not the total amount of scrolling, as positively recited at least in amended independent claims 1 and 9.

Additionally, the Office Action, on page 2, concedes that Huang fails to teach, or suggest, a specification position detection unit and a scroll control unit, as well as the associated features recited in claim 1 and similarly recited in claim 9. Thus Huang cannot

contain the additional features added by this Amendment. Further, Nakajima also fails to teach or suggest the above-discussed feature.

Accordingly, reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections of claims 1-10 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) are respectfully requested.

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that this application is in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration and prompt allowance of claims 1-11 are earnestly solicited.

Should the Examiner believe that anything further would be desirable in order to place this application in even better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at the telephone number set forth below.

Respectfully submitted,



James A. Oliff
Registration No. 27,075

John A. Radi
Registration No. 59,345

JAO:JAR/hms

Date: December 7, 2007

OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC
P.O. Box 320850
Alexandria, Virginia 22320-4850
Telephone: (703) 836-6400

<p>DEPOSIT ACCOUNT USE AUTHORIZATION Please grant any extension necessary for entry; Charge any fee due to our Deposit Account No. 15-0461</p>
--